

Forest EcoValue

Interreg Alpine Space Programme 21-27
Carbon neutral and resource sensitive Alpine region
SO 2.2: Promoting the transition to a circular and resource efficient economy

Forest EcoValue:

Supporting multiple forest ecosystem services through new circular/green/bio markets and value chains

Project ID: ASP0100005





Forest EcoValue

COLLECTION OF BEST PRACTICES FOR FOREST ECOSYSTEM SERVICES

Summary

Inti	roduction	4
1.	Donation based/crowdfunding: Huella del Futuro (Costa Rica)	5
2.	Subscription-based model: Forest for Dinner (Canada)	7
3.	Experience selling and freemium models: Oasi Zegna (Italy)	9
4.	Public-Private Partnership (PPP) model: Vittel PES scheme (France)	12
5.	Ecotourism: National forest sustainable tourism and small grants scheme (UK)	14
6.	Creation of value from waste: trash to cash model: VAIA Wood case study (Italy)	16
7.	Green health models and community gardens: urban gardens (Barcelona, Spain)	19
8. Por	Green chemistry model: SustForest project (Interreg Sudoe program – France, Spain and tugal)	21
9.	Biodiversity credits: Swedbank (Sweden)	23
10.	Reverse auction pilots for forest ecosystem services in rural and peri-urban areas (Belgi	um) 26
11.	PES Scheme: METSO - forest biodiversity (Finland)	29
12.	Groundwater protection (Copenhagen, Denmark)	32
13.	Slowing the flow project (UK)	35
14.	Forests for water PES scheme (Catalonia, Spain)	37
15.	Spiritual forests and forest kindergartens (Switzerland)	39

Introduction

This collection provides accessible information on best practices that can be presented to forest owners. The term "best practices" encompasses a wide range of actions, including policies, economic instruments, procedures, and behaviours deemed effective and suitable for achieving specific goals or standards in forest ecosystem management.

This collection focuses on a curated selection of case studies illustrating markets for Forest Ecosystem Services (FES) and Payments for Ecosystem Services (PES). The examples are drawn primarily from various contexts within the European Union, with additional references to relevant practices outside the EU. These case studies aim to highlight successful instances of FES markets and PES mechanisms and document good practices observed within these frameworks.

The information gathered serves a dual purpose: to showcase exemplary cases of best practices in FES and PES, and to identify and analyze the enabling conditions and critical success factors that support their effective application across diverse settings. The findings will provide actionable insights into strategies for ecosystem service management and payment systems, contributing to developing and implementing these models in diverse environmental contexts.

This collection will also be subject to further refinement and integration to ensure its relevance and applicability for stakeholders, focusing on advancing sustainable practices in forest management.

1. Donation based/crowdfunding: Huella del Futuro (Costa Rica)

FACTS IN SHORT

The Donation-Based/Crowdfunding model enables projects to be fully financed through voluntary contributions, typically facilitated via the Internet. To attract supporters, it is crucial to conduct awareness-raising campaigns that detail the project's objectives and environmental benefits. The involvement of a regulatory authority is essential for managing funds and overseeing practical forestry activities. This Business Model Archetype (BMA) is highly adaptable and can be applied to the conservation of various regulating or supporting ecosystem services (FES), depending on the specific forestry or conservation project. For example, reforestation efforts following extreme weather events can be effectively funded through this BMA.

Key words	Crowdfunding, reforesta	Crowdfunding, reforestation			
	DESCRIPTION, GOALS & FUNDING				
Detailed description of Good Practice	An example of the donation model is the project "Huella del Futuro" (Footprints for our Future), a reforestation campaign launched in 2020 in Costa Rica and funded through crowdfunding. The campaign successfully raised over \$1.8 million USD in donations, facilitating the planting of more than 250,000 trees in northern Costa Rica, a region significantly impacted by climate change.				
Goals of the Good practice	 Restore forest ecosystems in Costa Rica, with an emphasis on biodiversity conservation and carbon sequestration. Enhance community well-being by creating green jobs. Support Costa Rica's objective of achieving 60% forest cover by 2030 				
Financing / Funding description	 Funded through crowdfunding, raising over \$1.8 million Supported by international platforms 				
TO	PIC, ECOSYSTEM SI	ERVICE & TYPE OF SO	LUTION		
Key topic	ESS and natural capital-l	pased economy			
Forest Ecosystem Service mainly affected	Provisioning Services Regulating Services Cultural Services □ Raw material provision □ Air quality □ Recreation □ Food provision □ Groundwater quality □ Health maintenance □ Other □ Surface Water quality □ Spirituality □ Natural hazard □ Contemplation □ Biodiversity habitat □ Inspiration for Art □ Other □ Other				
Economic sector (NACE category)	Agriculture, forestry and fishing				
Type of solution	☑ Business model☐ Technical solution☐ Organisational solution				

	☑ Management solution (farming, regional development)			
	☐ Labeling solution (e.g. certificates)			
	☐ Motivating solution (e.g. awards)			
	☐ Other (please describe it here):			
	TARGET GROUPS, POLICY & GOVERNANCE			
Target groups	 □ National public authority (TG 1 and 2) ☑ Regional public authority (TG 3 and 4) ☑ Local public authority (TG 5) □ Enterprise, except SME (TG 6 and 7) □ SMEs (TG 8 and 9) □ Business support organization (TG 10) □ Sectoral agency (TG 11) 	 □ Interest groups including NGOs (TG 12-14) ☑ General public (TG 13) □ Financial/banking players (TG15) ☑ Public and private forest owners (TG16) □ Higher education and research organisations (TG 17) □ International organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19) 		
Policy fields mainly affected	 ☑ Forestry ☑ Timber production ☑ Water management ☑ Tourism ☑ Bio-economy ☑ Energy 			
Governance actions	Public policy and strategies			
	BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY & SCALABILITY			
	BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY	& SCALABILITY		
Economic and/or	BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY Ecological benefits	& SCALABILITY Economic and Social benefits		
Economic and/or social benefits	·			
•	 Ecological benefits Planting over 250,000 trees to mitigate the effects of climate change. Benefits include carbon sequestration, soil protection, biodiversity restoration, and the creation of habitats for pollinators and birds. Expanding to Costa Rica's Greater I forests. 	Economic and Social benefits Empowerment of local communities and women-led organizations. Creation of long-term jobs and improvement of living conditions. Strengthening of community connections to nature Metropolitan Area to establish urban		
social benefits	 Ecological benefits Planting over 250,000 trees to mitigate the effects of climate change. Benefits include carbon sequestration, soil protection, biodiversity restoration, and the creation of habitats for pollinators and birds. Expanding to Costa Rica's Greater I forests. Potential for replication in other re 	Economic and Social benefits Empowerment of local communities and women-led organizations. Creation of long-term jobs and improvement of living conditions. Strengthening of community connections to nature Metropolitan Area to establish urban gions using a similar model.		
social benefits Scalability	 Ecological benefits Planting over 250,000 trees to mitigate the effects of climate change. Benefits include carbon sequestration, soil protection, biodiversity restoration, and the creation of habitats for pollinators and birds. Expanding to Costa Rica's Greater I forests. 	Economic and Social benefits Empowerment of local communities and women-led organizations. Creation of long-term jobs and improvement of living conditions. Strengthening of community connections to nature Metropolitan Area to establish urban gions using a similar model.		
social benefits	 Ecological benefits Planting over 250,000 trees to mitigate the effects of climate change. Benefits include carbon sequestration, soil protection, biodiversity restoration, and the creation of habitats for pollinators and birds. Expanding to Costa Rica's Greater I forests. Potential for replication in other re 	Economic and Social benefits Empowerment of local communities and women-led organizations. Creation of long-term jobs and improvement of living conditions. Strengthening of community connections to nature Metropolitan Area to establish urban gions using a similar model. BARRIERS Several organizations.		

2. Subscription-based model: Forest for Dinner (Canada)

FACTS IN SHORT

A subscription model offers periodic access to a product or service, allowing customers to regularly receive or use these offerings. This model can be applied to both provisioning and cultural services. For example, customers can subscribe to monthly or yearly plans to receive forest edible products (e.g., fruits or mushrooms) or materials (e.g., resin, sawdust, timber) with free delivery. Additionally, a subscription model can provide access to forests for activities such as direct harvesting, mushroom picking, or sports. However, implementing this model requires a regulatory framework to establish limitations on public forest access

forest access.					
Key words	Subscription mode, sustainable foraging, biodiversity conservation				
DESCRIPTION, GOALS & FUNDING					
Detailed description of Good Practice	A real-world example of a subscription model is Forest for Dinner, a Canadian initiative on Vancouver Island that bridges the gap between sustainable foraging and consumer education. It offers subscription services for wild food products, including dried mushrooms, botanical ingredients, and curated food boxes. Additionally, it organizes guided foraging tours, teaching participants sustainable harvesting techniques and fostering a deeper connection with nature. This project promotes biodiversity conservation while delivering high-quality wild food experiences to customers. More info: https://forestfordinner.ca/?srsltid=AfmBOor7L9JyI4D-QeU6 rXR9PWxyTQMgNofgOhUTK3g7LYUsCq1ESec				
Goals of the Good Practice	 Promote sustainable foraging and wild food consumption. Educate individuals about ecosystem-friendly harvesting. Encourage appreciation and preservation of forest biodiversity. 				
Financing / Funding description	 Revenue through subscription services for wild food boxes. Income from seasonal foraging tours and educational workshops. 				
TO	PIC, ECOSYSTEM SI	ERVICE & TYPE OF SO	LUTION		
Key topic	ESS and natural capital b	pased economy			
Forest Ecosystem Service mainly affected	Provisioning Services Regulating Services Cultural Services □ Raw material provision □ Air quality □ Recreation □ Other □ Surface Water quality □ Spirituality □ Natural hazard □ Contemplation □ Biodiversity habitat □ Inspiration for Art □ CO₂ storage and sequestration □ Other		 ☑ Recreation ☐ Health maintenance ☐ Spirituality ☐ Contemplation ☐ Inspiration for Art 		
Economic sector (NACE category)	Agriculture, forestry and fishing				

Type of solution	□ Business model				
	☐ Technical solution				
	☐ Organisational solution				
	☐ Management solution (farming, regional development)				
	☐ Labeling solution (e.g. certificates)				
	☐ Motivating solution (e.g. awards)				
	☐ Other (please describe it here):				
	TARGET GROUPS, POLICY & GOVERNANCE				
Target groups	 □ National public authority (TG 1 and 2) □ Regional public authority (TG 3 and 4) □ Local public authority (TG 5) □ Enterprise, except SME (TG 6 and 7) ☑ SMEs (TG 8 and 9) □ Business support organization (TG 10) □ Sectoral agency (TG 11) 	 ☐ Interest groups including NGOs (TG 12-14) ☒ General public (TG 13) ☐ Financial/banking players (TG15) ☒ Public and private forest owners (TG16) ☐ Higher education and research organisations (TG 17) ☐ International organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19) 			
Policy fields mainly		-			
affected	☑ Forestry☐ Timber production	☐ Climate protection / -mitigation☐ Water management			
	□ Nature Conservation	☐ Tourism			
	⊠ Bio-economy	☐ Other			
	☐ Energy				
Governance actions	Public policy and strategies				
	BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY	& SCALABILITY			
Economic and/or	BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY Ecological benefits	& SCALABILITY Economic and Social benefits			
Economic and/or social benefits	 Ecological benefits Ensures sustainable harvest practices to protect ecosystems. Raises awareness of forest 	Economic and Social benefits Builds community engagement with nature. Promotes outdoor education and			
•	Ensures sustainable harvest practices to protect ecosystems.	Economic and Social benefits Builds community engagement with nature.			
•	 Ecological benefits Ensures sustainable harvest practices to protect ecosystems. Raises awareness of forest conservation among participants 	 Economic and Social benefits Builds community engagement with nature. Promotes outdoor education and healthy food practices. Encourages cultural interest in wild, 			
•	 Ecological benefits Ensures sustainable harvest practices to protect ecosystems. Raises awareness of forest conservation among participants 	 Economic and Social benefits Builds community engagement with nature. Promotes outdoor education and healthy food practices. Encourages cultural interest in wild, natural ingredients. Supports local jobs in foraging, 			
•	 Ecological benefits Ensures sustainable harvest practices to protect ecosystems. Raises awareness of forest conservation among participants and subscribers. 	 Economic and Social benefits Builds community engagement with nature. Promotes outdoor education and healthy food practices. Encourages cultural interest in wild, natural ingredients. Supports local jobs in foraging, product packaging, and tourism. Boosts the regional economy through demand for wild, high-quality culinary ingredients. 			
social benefits	 Ecological benefits Ensures sustainable harvest practices to protect ecosystems. Raises awareness of forest conservation among participants and subscribers. The program has expanded its offer seasonal events. It demonstrates the process of the program has expanded its offer seasonal events.	 Economic and Social benefits Builds community engagement with nature. Promotes outdoor education and healthy food practices. Encourages cultural interest in wild, natural ingredients. Supports local jobs in foraging, product packaging, and tourism. Boosts the regional economy through demand for wild, high-quality culinary ingredients. rings, including more product lines and obtential for replication in other biodiverse food. 			
social benefits	Ecological benefits Ensures sustainable harvest practices to protect ecosystems. Raises awareness of forest conservation among participants and subscribers. The program has expanded its offer seasonal events. It demonstrates the pregions with consumer interest in wild SUCCESS FACTORS AND	 Economic and Social benefits Builds community engagement with nature. Promotes outdoor education and healthy food practices. Encourages cultural interest in wild, natural ingredients. Supports local jobs in foraging, product packaging, and tourism. Boosts the regional economy through demand for wild, high-quality culinary ingredients. rings, including more product lines and obtential for replication in other biodiverse food. BARRIERS 			
social benefits Scalability	Ecological benefits Ensures sustainable harvest practices to protect ecosystems. Raises awareness of forest conservation among participants and subscribers. The program has expanded its offer seasonal events. It demonstrates the pregions with consumer interest in wild SUCCESS FACTORS AND Focus on sustainability, education,	 Economic and Social benefits Builds community engagement with nature. Promotes outdoor education and healthy food practices. Encourages cultural interest in wild, natural ingredients. Supports local jobs in foraging, product packaging, and tourism. Boosts the regional economy through demand for wild, high-quality culinary ingredients. rings, including more product lines and obtential for replication in other biodiverse food. BARRIERS 			

- Logistical challenges in ensuring a consistent supply of wild products.
- Risk of ecosystem damage if harvesting exceeds sustainable limits.

3. Experience selling and freemium models: Oasi Zegna (Italy)

FACTS IN SHORT

The freemium business model: a pricing strategy where a service or good is offered for free to customers, but a price is charged for "premium" options. Applying this model could help generate revenues from recreational and cultural services: people are allowed to access the forest for free, but a ticket can be charged, for instance, for accessing specific sports and touristic facilities, or some limited "high conservation" areas, or to practice certain sports (e.g. mountain bike), to pick up mushrooms or harvest wild fruits, and more.

In this case, also the experience selling model can be adopted side by side. The result is a broad BMA based on the organization of activities of a different kind that seeks to enhance the value of a product or service by offering enriching experiences alongside it. They include guided tours by certified alpine or naturalistic guides, workshops on wild herbs and animal recognition, religious/spiritual activities, hiking/trekking, climbing, rafting, canoeing, and other sports, organized for individuals and/or groups within the area of the LL, addressed both to tourists or local communities, aimed at generating value from forest recreational and cultural services.

Key words	K	ev	wo	rds
-----------	---	----	----	-----

Recreation, tourism

Sustainable forest management

DESCRIPTION, GOALS & FUNDING

Detailed description of Good Practice

An example of these BMAs is "Oasi Zegna", which is born in the 1930s when Ermenegildo Zegna, a local textile industrialist, launched a big patronage program of environmental reclamation around Trivero (Biella, Italy), where the Ermenegildo Zegna wool mill is still operating. The current Oasi Zegna, a freely accessible nature park covering around 100 km² between Trivero and Valle Cervo in the Biella Alps, in Piemonte, was created in 1993 as a natural development of Ermenegildo Zegna's "green thought".

The oasis is part of the FAI (Italian National Fund for Environment) and makes it possible to preserve and widen a large area of forest through various tourist, cultural, and recreational activities that contribute to the funding of the Project. In the oasis, it is possible to do forest bathing, horseback riding, Nordic walking, biking, and trekking. It is possible to visit the forest for free, or book guided tours for a fee. The Zegna Oasis also organizes services for companies, for a fee, thanks to a team that provides corporate team-building sessions in the forest (outdoor sports, mindfulness) and can host conferences and workshops.

Goals of the Good Practice

- Sustainable forest management
- enhancing the local economy
- Preserving the biodiversity

Financing / Funding description

- Guided tours foresee the payment of a fee
- Team building and corporate welfare services offered to organizations and groups

TOPIC, ECOSYSTEM SERVICE & TYPE OF SOLUTION

Key topic	ESS and natural capital based economy			
Forest Ecosystem Service mainly affected	Provisioning Services Raw material provision Food provision Other	Regulating Services Air quality Groundwater quality Surface Water quality Natural hazard Biodiversity habitat CO ₂ storage and sequestration Other		Cultural Services ☑ Recreation ☐ Health maintenance ☑ Spirituality ☐ Contemplation ☐ Inspiration for Art ☑ Other
Economic sector (NACE category)	Agriculture, forestry and f	fishing		
Type of solution	☐ Management solution☐ Labeling solution (e.g.☐ Motivating solution (e.			
TARGET GROUPS, POLICY & GOVERNANCE			CE	
Target groups	 □ National public authority (TG 1 and 2) □ Regional public authority (TG 3 and 4) □ Local public authority (TG 5) ⋈ Enterprise, except SME (TG 6 and 7) ⋈ SMEs (TG 8 and 9) □ Business support organization (TG 10) □ Sectoral agency (TG 11) 		 ☑ Interest groups including NGOs (TG 12-14) ☑ General public (TG 13) ☐ Financial/banking players (TG15) ☐ Public and private forest owners (TG16) ☐ Higher education and research organisations (TG 17) ☐ International organisation, EEIG (TG 18 - 19) 	
Policy fields mainly affected	 Sectional agency (16 11) ✓ Forestry ☐ Timber production ✓ Nature Conservation ✓ Bio-economy ☐ Energy 		☐ Climate protection / -mitigation ☐ Water management ☑ Tourism ☐ Other	
Governance actions	Public policy and strategies			
	BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY & SCALABILITY			LITY
Economic and/or social benefits	Sustainable forest and biodiversity manager	est and • Economic sustainability of		c sustainability of the nent and conservation nity engagement

Transferability	The project is adaptable to any forest type.
Scalability	This scheme applies to regional/local contexts.
	It is difficult to apply to a larger scale.
	SUCCESS FACTORS AND BARRIERS
Success factors	Presence of an "enlightened" entrepreneur
	Cohesion of local entities and stakeholders
	 Involvement of a major national charity (FAI) with experience in heritage management
Obstacles	Presence of outstanding natural beauty
	Significant need of maintenance and staff to ensure a high level service
	Both fixed and variable costs can be high
	CONTACT DATA
Name	
Telephone Nr.	+39 340 1989593
E-mail	info@oasizegna.com
	Address
Name of the institution	Oasi Zegna
Type of institution	☐ Private enterprise
	☐ Public administration
	☐ Non Governmental Organisation
	☐ Association
	⊠ Other
Brief description of institution (optional)	Oasi Zegna born in the '30s when Ermenegildo Zegna, the textile industrialist, launched a big patronage program of environmental reclamation around Trivero (Biella, Italy), where the Ermenegildo Zegna wool mill is still operating. Oasi
(Capacita)	Zegna, a freely accessible nature park covering around 100 km2 between Trivero and Valle Cervo in the Biella Alps, in Piemonte, was created in 1993 as a natural development of Ermenegildo Zegna's "green thought".
Street	https://www.google.com/maps?ll=45.669837,8.15726&z=15&t=h&hl=en- US≷=US&mapclient=embed&cid=9866193016805827311
ZIP-code	
City	Biella
Country	Italy
Website of the project	https://www.oasizegna.com/it/

4. Public-Private Partnership (PPP) model: Vittel PES scheme (France)

FACTS IN SHORT

PPP are agreements between governments and local private enterprises typically employed for the realization of large infrastructure projects, financed through public funds and executed by private partners (or vice versa). The model involves significant actions performed by the private actor to support simultaneously public and private interest at a financially viable cost. This broad model can be employed for forest conservation, management and reforestation activities aimed at supporting regulating services (water) and generating value from their conservation

regulating services (water) and generating value from their conservation						
Key words	PES, PPP, water quality					
	DESCRIPTION, GOALS & FUNDING					
Detailed description of Good Practice	The Vittel PES (Payment for Ecosystem Services) scheme was designed to ensure the protection of water quality for the company's natural mineral water sources in the Vosges region, France. The project involves collaboration with local farmers to transition their practices to more sustainable methods that reduce nitrate and pesticide use. This transformation was achieved through partnerships with research institutions like the French National Institute for Agricultural Research (INRAE), and financial support from Vittel itself.					
		lagroforestry, crop rotation il quality and biodiversity.	on, and the use of natural			
	More info:					
	- <u>https://www.vittel.com/vittel-water-conservation</u>					
	- https://www.iied.org/sites/default/files/pdfs/migrate/G00388.pdf					
	 https://openknowledge.fao.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/aacd78a5- 15f9-48ab-866e-1af46d5b5f56/content 					
Goals of the Good Practice	 Protect the natural water recharge area from agricultural pollutants. Enhance local biodiversity by reducing human impact and reintroducing sustainable farming practices. Maintain the long-term quality and sustainability of Vittel's water sources 					
Financing / Funding description	The initiative was funded by Vittel SA, a private company. It provided farmers with financial incentives and technical assistance to adopt sustainable practices. This included covering transition costs, supporting adopting eco-friendly methods, and offering subsidies to maintain profitability during the shift. Additional support came from institutional collaborations with INRAE and local agencies, which provided expertise and scientific backing to the operation.					
то	PIC, ECOSYSTEM SE	RVICE & TYPE OF SO	LUTION			
Key topic	ESS and natural capital-b	pased economy				
Forest Ecosystem Service mainly affected	rest Ecosystem rvice mainly Provisioning Services Regulating Services Regulating Services Recreation					

□ Surface Water quality

☐ Spirituality

☐ Other

		☐ Natural haza	ard	☐ Contemplation
		☑ Biodiversity habitat		☐ Inspiration for Art
		☐ CO₂ storage and		☐ Other
		sequestration		
Facusianaday	□ Other			
Economic sector (NACE category)	Agriculture, forestry and fishing			
Type of solution	□ Business model			
	□ Technical solution			
	☐ Organisational solution			
	☐ Management solution (farming, regional development)			
	☐ Labeling solution (e.g. certificates)			
	_			
	☐ Motivating solution (e.	_		
	☐ Other (please describe	•		
	TARGET GROUPS,	POLICY & (GOVERNAN	ICE
Target groups	☑ National public authority (TG 1 and 2)		☐ Interest grou	ps including NGOs (TG 12-14)
	⊠ Regional public authority (T		☐ General publ	
	☐ Local public authority (TG 5			nking players (TG15)
	☐ Enterprise, except SME (TG	6 and 7)		rivate forest owners (TG16)
	☐ SMEs (TG 8 and 9)			ation and research organisations
	Business support organization (10 10)		l organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19)	
Policy fields mainly	= Sectoral agency (10 11)		☐ Climate prot	ection / -mitigation
affected	☐ Timber production		☐ Water manag	
			☐ Tourism	, · · ·
	☐ Bio-economy		☐ Other	
	☐ Energy			
Governance actions	Public policy and strateg	ies		
actions				
	BENEFITS, TRANSF	ERABILITY	& SCALABI	LITY
Economic and/or	Ecological benefits		Economic ar	nd Social benefits
social benefits	Significant increases	in	• Farmers	maintained or improved
	biodiversity, includin	g the return	their inco	ome due to subsidies and
	of rare species.		more sus	stainable farming practices.
	 Enhanced soil health 	and	_	ened community ties
	ecosystem resilience	reduced		partnerships and
	environmental risks			ative decision-making.
			1	researchers, and local tions worked towards
			_	goals, fostering shared
				bility and innovation.
Scalability	The project demonstrate	ed scalability		
•	The project demonstrated scalability through its potential replication in other regions with similar environmental challenges.			

However, scalability is constrained by the need for significant upfront investments, extensive partnerships with local stakeholders, and tailored solutions for each region's unique ecological and agricultural dynamics. The presence of a major private funding provider can also be challenging to ensure. SUCCESS FACTORS AND BARRIERS **Success factors** Presence of a company strongly committed to sourcing a high-quality input (water), vital to its core business and largely dependent on ecological Strong partnerships with research institutions, local farmers, and governmental bodies. Comprehensive financial and technical support offered to farmers during the transition. Measurable environmental benefits, such as increased biodiversity and water quality improvements. Collaborative governance involving various stakeholders to align goals and execution **Obstacles** High initial costs and long timelines for measurable results, which may deter immediate replication. Resistance from farmers due to disruptions to traditional practices and economic uncertainties.

5. Ecotourism: National forest sustainable tourism and small grants scheme (UK)

Dependency on corporate funding and public support to sustain the initiative

FACTS IN SHORT

Ecotourism can be defined as responsible travel to natural areas that conserves the environment, sustains the well-being of the local people, and involves interpretation and education. Establishing ecofriendly tourist facilities can generate revenues from forest recreational and cultural services while avoiding the negative environmental impacts of mass tourism.

Key words Tourism, recreation, business					
DESCRIPTION, GOALS & FUNDING					
Detailed description of Good Practice	"The National Forest" in the UK is an environmental project aimed at transforming a large area of central England into a new, sustainable forest. It promotes sustainable tourism by encouraging businesses to adopt green practices and foster connections between visitors and the environment. This is achieved through funding projects that make woodlands and habitats more accessible and engaging. The "Small Grants Scheme" supports small businesses and community projects that align with these goals, promoting greener, more inclusive visit experiences.				

Goals of the Good Practice	 Protect and enhance the local natural environment by making it more accessible and promoting sustainable use of resources. Support the recovery and growth of local tourism businesses post-pandemic, while driving them toward sustainable practices that benefit the local economy. Ensure the tourism sector is inclusive and accessible, offering opportunities for diverse groups to connect with nature. Projects also aim to improve wellbeing through outdoor activities and creative engagement 			
Financing / Funding description	project. It funds its grants	he National Forest Company is the organization that leads the National Forest roject. It funds its grants through a combination of public funding from Defra (UK epartment for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs), income from its commercial		
	subsidiary (National Forest Enterprises), and other charitable contributions. These grants are an example of "blended finance" derived from multiple sources.			
TO	TOPIC, ECOSYSTEM SERVICE & TYPE OF SOLUTION			LUTION
Key topic	ESS and natural capital based economy			
Forest Ecosystem Service mainly affected	Provisioning Services Raw material provision Food provision Other			Cultural Services ☑ Recreation ☑ Health maintenance ☐ Spirituality ☑ Contemplation ☐ Inspiration for Art ☐ Other
Economic sector (NACE category)	Agriculture, forestry and fishing			
Type of solution	 ☑ Business model ☐ Technical solution ☐ Organisational solution ☑ Management solution (farming, regional development) ☐ Labeling solution (e.g. certificates) ☐ Motivating solution (e.g. awards) ☐ Other (please describe it here): 			
	TARGET GROUPS,	POLICY & 0	GOVERNAN	ICE
Target groups	□ National public authority (TG 1 and 2) □ Regional public authority (TG 3 and 4) □ Local public authority (TG 5) □ Enterprise, except SME (TG 6 and 7) □ SMEs (TG 8 and 9) □ Business support organization (TG 10) □ Sectoral agency (TG 11) □ International organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19)			

Policy fields mainly affected	 ☑ Forestry ☐ Timber production ☐ Nature Conservation ☐ Bio-economy ☐ Energy 	 □ Climate protection / -mitigation □ Water management ☑ Tourism □ Other 	
Governance actions	Public policy and strategies		
	BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY	& SCALABILITY	
Economic and/or social benefits	 Protection and restoration of the National Forest's biodiversity Promotion of the sustainable use of natural resources. 	 The grants stimulate local economies by supporting small businesses and diversifying the tourism sector with sustainable offerings. Projects enhancing accessibility to woodlands ensure that nature can be enjoyed by a larger and more diverse audience 	
Scalability	other regions with similar ecological ch	National Forest and can be extended to paracteristics. ilar governance model and organization is	
	SUCCESS FACTORS AND	BARRIERS	
Success factors	 Strong partnerships between local authorities, businesses, and community groups are crucial for the success of tourism initiatives. Ensuring that all visitors, including those facing mobility challenges, can access and enjoy the forest through innovative solutions 		
Obstacles	 Securing sufficient match funding for some projects can be a challenge, especially for smaller businesses. Ensuring that tourism activities remain environmentally and economically viable after initial funding phases is critical for long-term success Most of the contributions paid by the National Forest Company are grants – so they require a relatively large amount of funding. 		

6. Creation of value from waste: trash to cash model and VAIA Wood case study (Italy)

FACTS IN SHORT

Based on the concept of "circular economy", the trash to cash model uses products, production scratches, and waste, that are collected and transformed (upcycled) into new products. At the same time, recycling/upcycling timber production scratches can provide environmental benefits while supporting sustainable forest management for timber extraction (provisioning service).

A company uses waste and scraps left in the forest or from timber production, to produce artisanal furniture and small wooden objects, employing local artisans. The material used for the production might be more volatile and of lower quality, but it is also cheaper than virgin wood, helping lowering production costs. The same model can be applied to a forest hit by a storm, pests, or natural disasters that fell a considerable number of trees.

Key words	Circular economy; upcycling			
	DESCRIPTION	, GOALS & FUNDING		
Detailed description of Good Practice	Vaia Wood produces wooden objects from timber scraps, from the trees that fell during the devastating "Vaia" storm (2018), being 42M trees in Prealpi Venete and Dolomiti (Italy), reinvesting their profits in local afforestation activities (>30.000 trees planted) and communication on environmental and social issues. Products are sold in shops or online, also in cooperation with natural parks and forest owners.			
Goals of the Good Practice	Sustainable forest managenhancing the local econ			
Financing / Funding description	Sale of the upcycled products Public funding			
TOPIC, ECOSYSTEM SERVICE & TYPE OF SOLUTION				
Key topic	Resource efficient econo	my		
Forest Ecosystem Service mainly affected	Provisioning Services ☑ Raw material provision ☐ Food provision ☐ Other	Regulating Services Air quality Groundwater quality Surface Water quality Natural hazard Biodiversity habitat CO ₂ storage and sequestration Other	Cultural Services ☐ Recreation ☐ Health maintenance ☐ Spirituality ☐ Contemplation ☐ Inspiration for Art ☐ Other	
Economic sector (NACE category)	Agriculture, forestry and fishing			
Type of solution	 ☑ Business model ☐ Technical solution ☐ Organisational solution ☐ Management solution (farming, regional development) ☐ Labeling solution (e.g. certificates) ☐ Motivating solution (e.g. awards) ☐ Other (please describe it here): 			
TARGET GROUPS, POLICY & GOVERNANCE				

Policy fields mainly affected	 □ National public authority (TG 1 and 2) □ Regional public authority (TG 3 and 4) □ Local public authority (TG 5) □ Enterprise, except SME (TG 6 and 7) ☑ SMEs (TG 8 and 9) □ Business support organization (TG 10) □ Sectoral agency (TG 11) □ Forestry ☑ Timber production □ Nature Conservation □ Bio-economy □ Energy 	□ Interest groups including NGOs (TG 12-14) □ General public (TG 13) □ Financial/banking players (TG15) ☑ Public and private forest owners (TG16) □ Higher education and research organisations (TG 17) □ International organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19) □ Climate protection / -mitigation □ Water management □ Tourism □ Other	
Governance actions	Public policy and strategies		
	BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY	& SCALABILITY	
Economic and/or social benefits	 Decrease resource (timber) consumption, lowering waste and emissions. Benefits for the forest itself if revenues are reinvested in sustainable forest management. Reforestation activities produce a general increase in the ecosystem quality and quantity of FES. 	 Economic and Social benefits Job creation for local artisans Community engagement Lower production costs under special conditions (e.g. waste, fallen trees, etc.) 	
Scalability	This scheme applies to regional/local c On a larger scale, benefits for local wor		
	SUCCESSFACTORS AND I	BARRIERS	
Success factors	Low-cost raw material from production	ction scraps, waste and fallen trees	
Obstacles	 Alternative activities that already have a supply chain based on scrap and waste. Potential high cost of labor for transformation of raw materials Limited cost advantages from using waste wood if not supported by funding programs 		
	CONTACT DATA		
Name	Federico Stefani		
Telephone Nr.	+39 350 139 5944		
E-mail	vaiasrl@pec.it		
	Address		

Name of the institution	Vaia Wood Srl
Type of institution	☑ Private enterprise
	☐ Public administration
	☐ Non Governmental Organisation
	☐ Association
	□ Other
Brief description of institution (optional)	Young start-up that was born in the aftermath of Storm Vaia from the idea of three friends.
Street	Via Puisle, 23
ZIP-code	38051
City	Borgo Valsugana (Trento)
Country	Italy
Website of the project	https://www.vaiawood.eu

7. Green health models and community gardens: urban gardens (Spain)

FACTS IN SHORT

Community gardens aim to connect and support vulnerable people in the local community, especially in towns and cities. The beneficiaries often come from different backgrounds (such as refugees, elderly, and disabled people) and community gardens offer them a safe space for growing and harvesting fruits, mushrooms, and local plants. This BMA helps generate positive environmental benefits, revenues from provisioning services (harvesting), and important social benefits for the community and some specific subgroups.

Key words	Urban ES, Nature Based Solutions			
	DESCRIPTION, GOALS & FUNDING			
Detailed description of Good Practice	This BMA is based on Barcelona's urban gardening projects: they aim to repurpose unused urban spaces into ecological and social hubs that benefit local communities and the environment. These gardens combine sustainable agricultural practices with inclusivity, providing spaces where diverse groups, including vulnerable populations like the elderly and migrants, can grow food and foster social ties. Institutionally supported by the city's "Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity Plan", these gardens also contribute to biodiversity, soil health, and urban climate resilience. They serve as platforms for education on sustainability, and enhance public awareness of urban ecology and the importance of local food systems. Municipal support, alongside partnerships with NGOs and local organizations, ensures the long-term viability of these initiatives.			

	More info:			
	- https://www.greeneuropeanjournal.eu/cultivating-resilience-urban-and-			
	guerrilla-gardening-in-barcelona/			
	- https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0169204617302			
	<u>141</u>			
Goals of the Good	Enhance urban biodi	versity and eco	ological servic	es.
Practice	 Foster social inclusion and interaction among diverse groups, including vulnerable populations. Strengthen local food systems by providing access to fresh, organic produce. Promote environmental awareness and education 			
Financing /	• Financing primarily	comes from	ı local gover	nment programs such as
Funding	Barcelona's "Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity Plan."			ty Plan."
description	• Additional financial	support is se	ecured throug	th partnerships with NGOs,
	private donors, and g	rants for natu	re-based solu	tions
TC	TOPIC, ECOSYSTEM SERVICE & TYPE OF SOLUTION			
Key topic	ESS and natural capital-based economy			
Forest Ecosystem	Bussisianian Camina	Dogwieting (· ·	Cultural Caminas
Service mainly	Provisioning Services	Regulating Services		Cultural Services
affected	Raw material provision	☐ Air quality		□ Recreation □ □ Recreation □ Recrea
		☐ Groundwater quality☐ Surface Water quality		
	☐ Other	 ☐ Surface water quality ☑ Natural hazard 		☐ Spirituality
		 ☒ Biodiversity habitat 		☐ Contemplation
		☐ CO ₂ storage		☐ Inspiration for Art☐ Other☐
		sequestration	anu	□ Other
		□ Other		
Economic sector	Agriculture, forestry and fishing			
(NACE category)	ngileattare, rorestry and rishing			
Type of solution	⊠ Business model			
	☐ Technical solution			
	☐ Organisational solutio	ın		
			onal developn	nont)
			onal developi	nenc)
	☐ Labeling solution (e.g.			
	☐ Motivating solution (e.	.g. awards)		
	☐ Other (please describe it here):			
	TARGET GROUPS,	POLICY &	GOVERNAN	ICE
Target groups	☐ National public authority (T	G 1 and 2)		ıps including NGOs (TG 12-14)
	☐ Regional public authority (T		⊠ General pub	· ·
	☑ Local public authority (TG 5		1	nking players (TG15)
	☐ Enterprise, except SME (TG			rivate forest owners (TG16)
	☐ SMEs (TG 8 and 9)		☐ Higher educ	ation and research organisations
	☐ Business support organizati	ion (TG 10)	(TG 17)	
	☐ Sectoral agency (TG 11)		□ Internationa	l organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19)

Policy fields mainly affected Governance	 □ Forestry □ Timber production ⋈ Nature Conservation ⋈ Bio-economy □ Energy Public policy and strategies 	 ☑ Climate protection / -mitigation ☐ Water management ☐ Tourism ☐ Other 	
actions			
	BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY	& SCALABILITY	
Economic and/or social benefits	 Ecological benefits Increases biodiversity in urban areas. Improves soil health and supports pollinators by adopting organic farming methods. Contributes to climate resilience by reducing urban heat islands 	 Economic and Social benefits Strengthens community bonds through shared spaces. Provides therapeutic and recreational benefits, especially for the elderly and vulnerable groups. Enhances public understanding of sustainability and local food systems Creates job opportunities in urban agriculture and ecological education 	
Scalability	Its participatory model can be repli challenges and available land	cated in other cities with similar urban	
	SUCCESS FACTORS AND	BARRIERS	
Success factors	 Strong municipal support and integration into broader urban planning strat engagement and partnerships with NGOs and local groups. Use of participatory approaches to ensure inclusivity and local ownership 		
Obstacles	 Limited availability of urban land and competition for space. Challenges in maintaining long-term participants. Potential conflicts with residents Large dependency on public funding schemes. 		

8. Green chemistry model: SustForest project (Interreg Sudoe program – France, Spain and Portugal)

FACTS IN SHORT

The "green chemistry model" involves extracting and utilizing non-wood biomass for the production of sustainable biofuels, biodegradable plastics, or natural solvents. This model promotes ecological restoration while sustainably managing the forest, supporting rural economies through sustainable extraction methods, and technological innovation.

Key words	Green chemistry		
DESCRIPTION, GOALS & FUNDING			

Detailed description of Good Practice	The "SustForest Plus" project is an initiative funded by the Interreg V B Sudoe program to support sustainable forest management and the European natural resin industry. It focuses on mobilizing resin resources, improving rural employment, and advancing market opportunities through technological innovation, and cross-border collaboration. The project emphasizes the role of natural resins in the bio-economy by addressing the challenges of resource extraction, market access, and labor quality. More info: https://www.sust-forest.eu/en/contenido/sustforest-plus			
Goals of the Good Practice	The main goals are to ensure sustainable management of resin resources, enhance the quality of labor in the natural resin industry, and create opportunities to expand markets for natural resins.			
Financing / Funding description	The project is financed by the Interreg Sudoe Program with a total budget of €1.48 million. This funding enables technological development, cross-border collaboration, and sustainable resource mobilization			
ТО	TOPIC, ECOSYSTEM SERVICE & TYPE OF SOLUTION			
Key topic	ESS and natural capital b	ased economy	/	
Forest Ecosystem Service mainly affected	Provisioning Services ☑ Raw material provision ☐ Food provision ☐ Other	Regulating S Air quality Groundwater Surface Water Natural hazer Biodiversity CO ₂ storage a sequestration Other	r quality er quality ard habitat	Cultural Services Recreation Health maintenance Spirituality Contemplation Inspiration for Art Other
Economic sector (NACE category)	Agriculture, forestry and fishing			
Type of solution	 ☑ Business model ☐ Technical solution ☐ Organisational solution ☒ Management solution (farming, regional development) ☐ Labeling solution (e.g. certificates) ☐ Motivating solution (e.g. awards) ☐ Other (please describe it here): 			
	TARGET GROUPS,	POLICY & 0	GOVERNAN	CE
Target groups	 □ National public authority (To ⋈ Regional public authority (To ⋈ Local public authority (To 5) □ Enterprise, except SME (To 6) □ SMEs (TG 8 and 9) □ Business support organization ⋈ Sectoral agency (TG 11) 	G 3 and 4) G and 7)	☐ General publ☐ Financial/bar☐ Public and pr☐ Higher educator (TG 17)	ps including NGOs (TG 12-14) ic (TG 13) nking players (TG15) rivate forest owners (TG16) ation and research organisations organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19)

Policy fields mainly affected	 ☑ Forestry ☐ Timber production ☑ Nature Conservation ☑ Bio-economy ☐ Energy 	☐ Climate protection / -mitigation ☐ Water management ☐ Tourism ☐ Other	
Governance actions	Public policy and strategies		
	BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY	& SCALABILITY	
Economic and/or social benefits	 Ecological benefits Promotes sustainable forest management through responsible natural resin extraction. Contributes to biodiversity protection and forest ecosystem restoration 	 Economic and social benefits Supports innovation and market expansion, improving job prospects in a novel industry. Strengthens local economies reliant on sustainable natural resource extraction. 	
Scalability	 Building strong cross-border networks for bigger results. It is important to share innovative technologies to scale impact across European regions. 		
	SUCCESS FACTORS AND	BARRIERS	
Success factors	Collaborative transnational partneSupported by EU funds	rships.	
Obstacles	extraction and forest management	fect the long-term sustainability of resin he network in the long run (after project	

9. Biodiversity credits: Swedbank (Sweden)

FACTS IN SHORT

Biodiversity credits are financial instruments that support conservation projects by assigning monetary value to preserving or restoring ecosystems, wildlife habitats, and species. They function similarly to carbon credits but focus on financing ecological outcomes, such as habitat restoration, reforestation, or species protection. Companies or governments can purchase these credits mainly to offset their environmental impacts or align to legal obligations by investing in verified biodiversity projects with measurable results.

Key words	Biodiversity, offsetting, finance solution		
DESCRIPTION, GOALS & FUNDING			

Detailed Swedbank, a leading Nordic bank, has been playing an active role in the description of Good biodiversity credits market by purchasing biodiversity credits generated from a **Practice** Swedish forest conservation project. The project spans 13 hectares of the Orsa forest (a forest cooperative), and focuses on three main areas: restoration of open old-growth pine forest, sustainable management of production forests, and overall forest conservation. The SLU (Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences) team developed a scoring system that assigns points to various actions aimed at protecting or restoring biodiversity. Factors considered include the presence of rare species, the amount of deadwood in the forest (which supports numerous organisms), and the level of sunlight reaching the forest floor. This detailed scoring system is essential for determining the quality of a conservation project. The points are converted into biodiversity credits, with higher scores reflecting higher conservation value. Every five years, a third-party organization verifies these credits to ensure that conservation goals are being met and that new credits are issued as progress continues. These credits represent financial investments aimed at restoring biodiversity, supporting habitat recovery, and halting biodiversity loss. The identification of clear biodiversity outcomes and the setup of a credible mechanism for their assessment is essential to issue biodiversity credits, subject to external verification by a third-party organization. Then private companies can or investors buy these credits to offset environmental impacts, fulfill ESG objectives, or speculate on future value. Credits can be bought directly from the issuer (e.g. the responsible organization for a conservation project) or on the market from other investors that bought the credits before. The relative scarcity of biodiversity credits available, especially against some increased demand due to new regulations can drive their price up and allow for speculative returns for investors. Returns can incentivize the issue of other credits and their trade, with increased financing for conservation initiatives. More info: https://www.swedbank.com/sustainability/environment/biodiversity.html **Goals of the Good** Combat biodiversity loss by financing conservation projects through a **Practice** market-based approach Encourage other financial institutions to engage in biodiversity markets. Financing / Swedbank's financial investment in biodiversity credits comes through a direct **Funding** purchase from an initiative that supports conservation in Sweden. This financial description contribution is used to support habitat restoration projects. Unlike traditional government subsidies or grants, this purchase operates through voluntary market transactions—drawing private funding toward biodiversity projects. **TOPIC, ECOSYSTEM SERVICE & TYPE OF SOLUTION Key topic** ESS and natural capital based economy

Forest Ecosystem Provisioning Services Regulating Services Cultural Services Service mainly ☐ Air quality □ Recreation ☐ Raw material provision affected ☐ Groundwater quality ☐ Health maintenance ☐ Food provision ☐ Surface Water quality ☐ Spirituality ☐ Other ☐ Natural hazard ☐ Contemplation □ Biodiversity habitat ☐ Inspiration for Art

		☐ CO ₂ storage sequestration	and	□ Other
		☐ Other		
Economic sector (NACE category)	Agriculture, forestry and fishing			
Type of solution	 ☑ Business model ☐ Technical solution ☐ Organisational solution ☐ Management solution (farming, regional development) ☐ Labeling solution (e.g. certificates) 			
	☐ Motivating solution (e.☐ Other (please describe	_		
	TARGET GROUPS,		GOVERNAN	ICE
Target groups	 □ National public authority (T □ Regional public authority (T □ Local public authority (TG 5 □ Enterprise, except SME (TG 6 □ SMEs (TG 8 and 9) □ Business support organizati □ Sectoral agency (TG 11) 	G 3 and 4)) 6 and 7)	☐ General pub ☑ Financial/ba ☑ Public and p ☐ Higher educa (TG 17)	ips including NGOs (TG 12-14) lic (TG 13) nking players (TG15) rivate forest owners (TG16) ation and research organisations l organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19)
Policy fields mainly affected	☑ Forestry☐ Timber production☑ Nature Conservation☐ Bio-economy☐ Energy		☐ Climate prot☐ Water mana;☐ Tourism☐ Other	ection / -mitigation gement
Governance actions	Public policy and strateg	ies		
	BENEFITS, TRANSF	ERABILITY	& SCALABI	LITY
Economic and/or social benefits	 Supports restoration habitats by reintrodu forested areas and ot conservation efforts. Enhances forest resili supporting sustainab practices and protect vulnerable ecosystem 	icing ther ience by ole forestry ting	 Strength through created I A collaborate with corporate with corporate internati Stimulate trading strength 	ened community resilience employment opportunities by restoration efforts. brative approach that es local conservation needs corate finance and onal strategies ion of a new market and scheme I flows for certified projects
Scalability	The market-based corporations and final		allows fina	ncial flexibility for other

	 A replicable model could be scaled to other forested regions and EU member states facing similar conservation challenges.
	SUCCESS FACTORS AND BARRIERS
Success factors	 Strong commitment from private financial institutions (like Swedbank) to support environmental sustainability. Collaboration with innovative environmental finance mechanisms and market opportunities (biodiversity credits).
Obstacles	 The technical and logistical challenges of creating verifiable, high-quality biodiversity credits. Scaling these models requires broader private sector engagement and appropriate policy support

10. Reverse auction pilots for forest ecosystem services in rural and peri-urban areas (Belgium)

FACTS IN SHORT

A reverse auction model is a type of auction where sellers compete to offer the lowest price for a product or service, rather than buyers bidding up prices. A reverse auction model applied to forests involves landowners competing to offer the lowest cost for providing specific ecosystem services, such as carbon sequestration, reforestation, biodiversity conservation, or watershed protection. Governments or organizations act as buyers, selecting the most cost-effective and efficient options from competitive bids to ensure environmental goals are met at the lowest cost.

Κ	ey	WO	rds
---	----	----	-----

Reverse auction; Payment for FES; Habitat restoration.

DESCRIPTION, GOALS & FUNDING

Detailed description of the Good pratice

This project comes from SINCERE project. Forests in Flanders are small, scattered, and often lack features that support biodiversity. Restoring habitats aims to improve biodiversity protection and create better conditions for wildlife. Restoration efforts often focus on improving habitats for species that can be hunted, which indirectly benefits other endangered species as well. Regulations and subsidies already exist to encourage environmentally friendly forestry practices.

Recent laws introduced a new way to manage land, allowing for comprehensive plans that address various types of land use and ecosystem services (ES). These plans are created through collaboration between private landowners and government agencies and include customized subsidy schemes. While forest owners are generally not required to have specific management plans, they are mandatory for nature reserves and public lands managed for conservation. Subsidies play a key role in encouraging landowners to participate in these plans.

A new funding source was established to support this initiative. This fund, if sustained by its governing board, could become a long-term financial resource for meeting societal needs for forest ecosystem services (FES). To encourage participation across Flanders, a reverse auction was implemented. In this auction,

	TARGET GROUPS,	POLICY & GOVERNAM	NCE
	☐ Other (please describe it here):		
	\square Motivating solution (e	.g. awards)	
	☐ Labeling solution (e.g.		
	_	(farming, regional developr	ment)
	☐ Organisational solution		
	☐ Technical solution		
Type of solution	⊠ Business model		
Economic sector (NACE category)	Agriculture, forestry and fishing		
		⊠ Other	
		sequestration	
		□ CO₂ storage and	☐ Other
		 □ Natural nazard ☑ Biodiversity habitat 	☐ Inspiration for Art
	☐ Other	☐ Surface Water quality ☐ Natural hazard	☐ Spirituality☐ Contemplation
	☐ Food provision	☐ Groundwater quality	☐ Health maintenance
Service mainly affected	☐ Raw material provision	☐ Air quality	☐ Recreation
Forest Ecosystem	Provisioning Services	Regulating Services	Cultural Services
Key topic	ESS and natural capital b	pased economy	
TO	PIC, ECOSYSTEM SE	ERVICE & TYPE OF SO	LUTION
Financing / Funding description	Targeting all of Flanders, the reverse auction was implemented as a discriminative price auction, where landowners were asked to describe the actions and improvements proposed for a pre-set amount (5,000€, 10,000€ or 15,000€).		
		ecies on forest biodiversity a	•
		covered by the existing subsection of wild boar buffers i	n order to limit the negative
Goals of the Good Practice		• •	or habitat restoration that
	ERE.pdf	/wpcontent/uptoads/2013/1	tz/rianders factsheet SiNC
	More info:	/wncontent/unloads/2019/1	L2/Flanders factsheet SINC
	· ·	quality, ultimately supporti	ng biodiversity.
	_	contractual restrictions sugg	
	Although thorois no dire	ct evidence yet of how much	andditional bonofit this
	and transaction costs, resembling existing flat-rate subsidy schemes but with a competitive, auction-based approach.		
	management practices. The auction process was efficient, with low coordination		
	The auction received enough bids to finalize 15 contracts with landowners and managers. These contracts focused on improving habitat quality by changing land		
	(co,000, c10,000, or c15,000), creating a positive incentive to participate.		
	landowners proposed habitat improvements for a fixed amount of funding (€5,000, €10,000, or €15,000), creating a positive incentive to participate.		

Policy fields mainly affected	 □ National public authority (TG 1 and 2) □ Regional public authority (TG 3 and 4) ☑ Local public authority (TG 5) □ Enterprise, except SME (TG 6 and 7) □ SMEs (TG 8 and 9) □ Business support organization (TG 10) □ Sectoral agency (TG 11) ☑ Forestry □ Timber production ☑ Nature Conservation □ Bio-economy □ Energy 	□ Interest groups including NGOs (TG 12-14) □ General public (TG 13) □ Financial/banking players (TG15) ☑ Public and private forest owners (TG16) □ Higher education and research organisations (TG 17) □ International organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19) □ Climate protection / -mitigation □ Water management □ Tourism □ Other
Governance actions	Public policy and strategies	
	BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY	& SCALABILITY
Economic and/or	Ecological benefits	Economic and Social benefits
social benefits	nature conservation/habitat restoration	 More actors willing to engage in activities that contribute to forest protection management compensation for land owners
Scalability	The habitat reverse auction in Flanders could expand nationally if funded, replacing less efficient policies with cost-effective alternatives. Its simple coordination suits similar schemes, though pricing models may vary with service diversity. EU-wide scaling depends on regulations, ecology, and flexible private forest management.	
	SUCCESS FACTORS AND	BARRIERS
Success factors	Strong partnershipCommunity engagement	
Obstacles	 No legal framework that takes into account the format of a reverse auction as a subsidy scheme Different points of view between relevant actors regarding land use and priorities Constant need to mitigate the risk of interfering with other existing subsidy systems Relative experimental nature of the practice developed within an EU Horizon project (research) 	
	CONTACT DATA	
Name	Alexander Therry	
Telephone Nr.	-	
E-mail	alexander.therry@vlaanderen.be	

Address		
Name of the institution	Natuurinvest	
Type of institution	☐ Private enterprise	
	☐ Public administration ☐ Non Governmental Organisation	
	☐ Association	
	⊠ Other	
Brief description of institution (optional)	On behalf of the Agency for Nature and Forests, Natuurinvest invests in projects that enhance the experience of nature. In this way, Natuurinvest ensures that people can fully enjoy our nature. It does so together with private entrepreneurs and government partners.	
	For the optimal management of forests, nature and green spaces in Flanders, Natuurinvest also invests in programs that increase people's knowledge.	
Street	Herman Teirlinckgebouw	
	Havenlaan 88 bus 75	
ZIP-code	B-1000	
City	Brussel	
Country	Belgium	
Website of the project	https://sincereforests.eu/ https://sincereforests.eu/wp- content/uploads/2019/12/Flanders_factsheet_SINCERE.pdf	

11. PES Scheme: METSO - forest biodiversity (Finland)

FACTS IN SHORT

A Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES) scheme in forest ecosystems is a financial mechanism where landowners or managers are compensated by governments, companies, or organizations for maintaining or enhancing ecosystem services such as carbon sequestration, water purification, biodiversity conservation, or climate mitigation. These incentives encourage sustainable forest management by linking financial rewards to the provision of measurable environmental benefits.

,	ng financial rewards to the provision of measurable environmental benefits.	
Key words	Voluntary-based conservation; Financial compensation; Nature management;	
DESCRIPTION, GOALS & FUNDING		
Detailed description of Good Practice	The METSO project, promoted by the Finnish government for the protection and conservation of forests, foresaw the voluntary participation of forest owners in exchange for financial compensation based on opportunity cost (i.e. the value of lost timber income).	
	Forest owners can voluntarily offer their forest sites for protection in the METSO Programme.	

The program can be implemented using three methods: 1. Permanent protection (Establishment of Private nature reserves or Selling the land to the State for conservation purposes). 2. Temporary conservation (Environmental forestry subsidy agreement for 10 years, or set up of a Temporary nature reserve for 20 years). 3. Nature management projects (focused on restoring and preserving valuable habitats in private forests). The site selection criteria define which habitats are accepted for conservation purposes, based on scientific criteria (forest habitat types, structural features of forests relevant for biodiversity). Further criteria are then applied to each main forest habitat type (e.g. sites where habitats are in their natural state or close to it, can easily be restored, host rare or endangered species, or are important for ecological connectivity are preferred). Decaying wood, burnt or charred wood, mature broad-leaved trees, large aspen trees, nutrient-rich soils, springs, brooks, or other natural water features are the structural elements that increase the ecological value of the site. Recreation, tourism, and cultural and landscape values may increase the site's significance if they support biodiversity conservation. Forest and environmental authorities assess the suitability of the offered sites based on ecological criteria. More info: https://metsonpolku.fi/en/metso-programme Goals of the Good Main goal: prevent the decline of woodland habitats and forest species. **Practice** It specifically refers to halting the ongoing decline in the biodiversity of forest habitats and species and ensuring that a favorable trend in forest biodiversity is established by 2025. **Financing / Funding** Forest owners get full financial compensation equivalent to the value of timber description at the protected site (opportunity cost). In case the land is sold to the state for permanent protection, its value is also compensated. For permanent protection, the private forest owner's compensation is tax-free. The nature management projects come at no cost to the forest owner. Protected and managed sites can be used for nature-based tourism and recreation, creating additional revenue for land managers. TOPIC, ECOSYSTEM SERVICE & TYPE OF SOLUTION **Key topic** ESS and natural capital-based economy **Forest Ecosystem Provisioning Services Regulating Services Cultural Services Service mainly** ☐ Air quality □ Recreation ☐ Raw material provision affected ☐ Health maintenance ☐ Food provision ☐ Groundwater quality ☐ Surface Water quality ☐ Spirituality ☐ Other ☐ Natural hazard □ Contemplation □ Biodiversity habitat ☐ Inspiration for Art ☐ Other ⊠ CO₂ storage and sequestration ☐ Other **Economic sector** Agriculture, forestry and fishing (NACE category)

Type of solution	 ☑ Business model ☐ Technical solution ☐ Organisational solution ☑ Management solution (farming, regional development) ☐ Labeling solution (e.g. certificates) ☐ Motivating solution (e.g. awards) ☐ Other (please describe it here): 		
	TARGET GROUPS, POLICY &	GOVERNANCE	
Target groups	 ☑ National public authority (TG 1 and 2) ☐ Regional public authority (TG 3 and 4) ☐ Local public authority (TG 5) ☐ Enterprise, except SME (TG 6 and 7) ☐ SMEs (TG 8 and 9) ☐ Business support organization (TG 10) ☐ Sectoral agency (TG 11) 	 ☑ Interest groups including NGOs (TG 12-14) ☐ General public (TG 13) ☐ Financial/banking players (TG15) ☒ Public and private forest owners (TG16) ☐ Higher education and research organisations (TG 17) ☐ International organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19) 	
Policy fields mainly affected	 ☑ Forestry ☑ Timber production ☑ Nature Conservation ☐ Bio-economy ☐ Energy 	☐ Climate protection / -mitigation ☐ Water management ☐ Tourism ☐ Other	
Governance actions	Public policy and strategies		
BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY & SCALABILITY			
Economic and/or social benefits	Forest conservation, species, and nature protection	 Social benefits new income for local communities; new job opportunities (sustainable forest management, tourism and recreation) 	
Scalability	The project is on a regional scale, but the same scheme is applicable to the entire state with due consideration.		
	SUCCESSFACTORS AND I	BARRIERS	
Success factors	 Voluntary-based approach Independence in decision making Retention of property rights Tax-free Established network and collabora 	tion	
Obstacles	High costsLarge need of liquid fundsDependent on public funding		

CONTACT DATA		
Name	Esa Pynnönen	
Telephone Nr.	+358 295 250 386	
E-mail	esa.pynnönen@gov.fi	
	Address	
Name of the institution	Ministry of the Environment	
Type of institution	 □ Private enterprise ⊠ Public administration □ Non Governmental Organisation □ Association □ Other 	
Brief description of institution (optional)	The Ministry of environment comprises four departments and one support function of the Ministry of the Environment (Natural Environment Department, Built Environment Department, Climate and Environmental Protection Department and Ministerial Governance and International Affairs Department, and Communications) that are responsible for legislative and policy preparation for the Government and Parliament concerning communities, climate issues, built environment, housing, biodiversity and sustainable use of natural resources, and environmental protection.	
Street	Aleksanterinkatu 7,	
ZIP-code	FI-00023	
City	Helsinki	
Country	Finland	
Website of the project	https://metsonpolku.fi/en/frontpage	

12. PES Scheme: Groundwater protection (Denmark)

12. PL3 Scheme. Groundwater protection (Denimark)		
FACTS IN SHORT		
The project aims to clean up ground waters that supply Copenhagen through afforestation measures and designated wellhead protection zones with no pesticides.		
Keywords Groundwater quality Land use Sustainable forest management PES		
DESCRIPTION, GOALS & FUNDING		

Detailed description of Good t t v

The main environmental problem related to groundwater resources in Denmark is the threat of groundwater pollution from pesticides and fertilizers in agriculture. In the last years, this has led to a sharp decrease in groundwater abstraction from two well fields used for water supply (e.g. the abstraction from Solhøj well field was reduced from about 5M m³ per year to 3M m³).

Forest-groundwater PES scheme has been developed to combat the further pollution of important groundwater bodies. It aims to two main effects:

- land-use change from agriculture to forests through afforestation of mainly broadleaf species;
- in existing forest areas, restrictions on the use of fertilizers or pesticides, and in some cases also underplanting of conifer stands with broadleaf tree species, as the latter increase groundwater recharge.

The "Copenhagen Energy Corporation" delivers drinking water to around 1M consumers in and around the municipality of Copenhagen from these wells. Over the last 20 years, the company lost about 14M m³ of groundwater per year (e.g. from the Vigersted well field ca. 5M m³ per year were lost, corresponding to the consumption of 100.000 Copenhageners). Thus, protecting ground waters through afforestation and the designation of wellhead protection zones (no pesticides) is important.

To secure the quality of the groundwater resources from the Vigersted well field an agreement has been made between Copenhagen Energy and the owner of a private forest nearby, according to which the private forest owner has to set aside 95 ha of his forest where no pesticides are allowed. In addition, Copenhagen Energy bought 530ha of farmland hosting broadleaf trees on which the state and local municipalities implemented afforestation activities. The time frame of the agreements is 30 years being the same as for groundwater abstraction licenses (both can be extended). A review of the contracts is carried out every 5 years. As a result:

The service providers/sellers are private forest owners (who eliminate pesticides in their forests) and farmers (who sell their land where forests are planted and managed).

The service buyers and beneficiaries are individuals and households (customers of Copenhagen Energy) using the water supplied, and contributing to financing Copenhagen Energy's fund.

Goals of the Good Practice

Protection of groundwater quality and quantity

Financing / Funding description

Direct payment: private owners are compensated by Copenaghen Energy Corporation to change forest management practices. Energy consumers eventually pay for the services securing groundwater extraction and supply.

TOPIC, ECOSYSTEM SERVICE & TYPE OF SOLUTION

Key topic	ESS and natural capital-based economy		
Forest Ecosystem	Provisioning Services	Regulating Services	Cultural Services
Service mainly	☐ Raw material provision	☐ Air quality	☐ Recreation
affected	☐ Food provision	⊠ Groundwater quality	☐ Health maintenance
	☐ Other	☐ Surface Water quality	☐ Spirituality
		☐ Natural hazard	☐ Contemplation

		☐ Biodiversity habitat		☐ Inspiration for Art
	☐ CO ₂ storage and		☐ Other	
	sequestration ☐ Other			
Economic sector				
(NACE category)	Agriculture, forestry and f	ishing		
Type of solution	⊠ Business model			
	☐ Technical solution			
	☐ Organisational solutio	n		
	☐ Management solution	(farming, regi	onal developn	nent)
	☐ Labeling solution (e.g.	certificates)		
	☐ Motivating solution (e.	.g. awards)		
	⊠ Other (please describe	e it here): PES		
	TARGET GROUPS,	POLICY & 0	GOVERNAN	ICE
Target groups	☐ National public authority (T	G 1 and 2)	☐ Interest grou	ps including NGOs (TG 12-14)
	☐ Regional public authority (T	G 3 and 4)	⊠ General publ	ic (TG 13)
	☐ Local public authority (TG 5			nking players (TG15)
	☐ Enterprise, except SME (TG	6 and 7)	•	rivate forest owners (TG16)
	☐ SMEs (TG 8 and 9)☐ Business support organizati	ion (TG 10)	☐ Higher educa (TG 17)	ation and research organisations
	 ☑ Sectoral agency (TG 11) 	1011 (10 10)		l organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19)
Policy fields mainly	□ Forestry		☐ Climate prot	ection / -mitigation
affected	☐ Timber production		⊠ Water manag	
	⊠ Nature Conservation		☐ Tourism	
	☐ Bio-economy		☐ Other	
	☐ Energy			
Governance actions	Public policy and strateg	ies		
	BENEFITS, TRANSF	ERABILITY	& SCALABI	LITY
Economic and/or	Ecological benefits		Economic ar	nd Social benefits
social benefits	elimination of the use	e of	 water av 	ailability
	pesticides		payment	for landowners
	 wider forested area 			
	 improvement in ground quality 	ındwater		
	quanty			
Transferability	The project is highly adap	otable to simil	ar contexts	
	SUCCESS FACT	TORS AND I	BARRIERS	
Success factors	Direct commitme	ent of a large u	tility company	1
	Availability of funds by the utility company			

	Clear problem statement and solid scientific analysis in support
Obstacles	 Innovative, complex contracts/agreements are needed to manage ecosystem services and the related obligations
	 Opportunity cost of converting agricultural land to forest land

13. Slowing the flow project (UK)

FACTS IN SHORT			
Key words	Flood management; Risk	protection, stakeholder col	laboration
	DESCRIPTION	, GOALS & FUNDING	
Detailed description of Good Practice	The "Slowing the Flow at Pickering" project is a natural flood management initiative aimed at reducing flood risk in Pickering, North Yorkshire. By implementing measures such as constructing "leaky dams," reforesting riparian areas, and creating bunds to store water, the project slows down water flow during heavy rainfall, reducing the likelihood of flooding downstream. These interventions are low-cost, environmentally friendly, and involve collaboration between multiple stakeholders, including government agencies, local communities, and academic institutions. More info: https://www.forestresearch.gov.uk/research/slowing-the-flow-at-pickering/slowing-the-flow-at-pickering-partners-and-funders/		
Goals of the Good Practice	Reduce flood risk for the town of Pickering.		
Financing / Funding description	 Funded by a mix of governmental grants, including contributions from Defra (Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs), the Forestry Commission, and the Environment Agency. Additional funding and in-kind support came from local stakeholders and organizations. 		
то	PIC, ECOSYSTEM SE	RVICE & TYPE OF SO	LUTION
Key topic	ESS and natural capital b	pased economy	
Forest Ecosystem Service mainly affected	Provisioning Services Raw material provision Food provision Other	Regulating Services ☐ Air quality ☐ Groundwater quality ☐ Surface Water quality ☒ Natural hazard ☒ Biodiversity habitat ☐ CO₂ storage and sequestration ☐ Other	Cultural Services ☐ Recreation ☑ Health maintenance ☐ Spirituality ☐ Contemplation ☐ Inspiration for Art ☐ Other
Economic sector (NACE category)	Agriculture, forestry and f	fishing	

Type of solution	☐ Business model			
	☐ Organisational solution			
	☐ Management solution (farming, regional development)			
	☐ Labeling solution (e.g. certificates)			
	☐ Motivating solution (e.g. awards)			
	☐ Other (please describe it here):			
TARGET GROUPS, POLICY & GOVERNANCE				
Target groups	 ☑ National public authority (TG 1 and 2) ☐ Regional public authority (TG 3 and 4) ☑ Local public authority (TG 5) ☐ Enterprise, except SME (TG 6 and 7) ☐ SMEs (TG 8 and 9) ☐ Business support organization (TG 10) ☑ Sectoral agency (TG 11) 	☐ Interest groups including NGOs (TG 12-14) ☐ General public (TG 13) ☐ Financial/banking players (TG15) ☑ Public and private forest owners (TG16) ☑ Higher education and research organisations (TG 17) ☐ International organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19)		
Policy fields mainly affected	 ☑ Forestry ☐ Timber production ☐ Nature Conservation ☐ Bio-economy ☐ Energy 	☑ Climate protection / -mitigation☑ Water management☐ Tourism☐ Other		
Governance actions	Public policy and strategies			
	BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY & SCALABILITY			
Economic and/or	Ecological benefits	Economic and social benefits		
social benefits	 Improved habitat connectivity and increased biodiversity due to reforestation and wetland creation. Enhanced water quality through sediment trapping and reduced runoff. Strengthened ecosystem resilience to climate change impacts. 	 Reduced flood damage costs for Pickering residents and businesses. Lower long-term expenditure compared to traditional engineered flood defenses. Improved community resilience to flooding. Increased awareness and engagement among local residents regarding sustainable water management. 		
Scalability	The methods used are replicable in other flood-prone rural areas with similar hydrological conditions.			
SUCCESS FACTORS AND BARRIERS				
Success factors	 Strong collaboration between stakeholders, including local communities, government agencies, and scientists. Use of evidence-based practices tailored to the local catchment's unique hydrology. 			

	Effective communication and community involvement, which ensured public support and cooperation
Obstacles	Limited availability of long-term monitoring data to fully quantify benefits.
	Dependence on voluntary landowner cooperation, which may delay implementation in certain areas

14. PES scheme: Forests for water (Spain)

FACTS IN SHORT

This Spanish (Catalan) case explores the implementation of a PES scheme relating to forests and water. It works on strengthening governance for joint forest-water strategic planning and on finding new resources to support forest owners in providing water-related services.

resources to support forest owners in providing water-related services.			
Key words			
	DESCRIPTION, GOALS & FUNDING		
Detailed description of Good Practice	This initiative under the SINCERE project operates within the Rialb water reservoir and surrounding forests, addressing key challenges such as underutilized forest management, wildfire risks, and the declining provision of ecosystem services, particularly water regulation. The program facilitates agreements between forest owners and downstream water users—primarily municipal water utilities and companies interested in corporate social responsibility—linking sustainable forest management practices to downstream benefits like improved water quality and fire mitigation.		
	A Forest and Water Fund underpins the scheme, with a forest owner association created to reduce transaction costs for small landowners. This association is responsible for crafting adaptive forest management plans certified by the regional forest agency (Centre de la Propietat Forestal). Sustainable management techniques, including selective thinning and biodiversity-focused measures, aim to enhance forest resilience while hydrological impacts, biodiversity, and carbon metrics are assessed using the CLIMARK framework. The innovative mechanism consists of a PES scheme focused on forests and water, strengthening governance for joint forest-water planning, and finding new resources to support forest owners in providing water-related services.		
	More info: https://sincereforests.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/CatalanCS factsheet SINCERE.pdf		
Goals of the Good Practice	 Include forests and forestry in a joint strategic planning tool with the participatory design of a local forest fund 		
	 Raise awareness on the role of forestry in mitigating the effects of climate change on water, including water availability for citizens 		
Financing / Funding description	The PES scheme operates on contributions from downstream beneficiaries, such as water utility companies and also companies interested in corporate social responsibility. Payments compensate forest owners for implementing targeted management practices, with costs tied to measurable ecological outcomes like improved water quality and reduced wildfire risks. Public funding and pilot grants also supported the initial setup and stakeholder engagement phases.		

TOPIC, ECOSYSTEM SERVICE & TYPE OF SOLUTION				
Key topic	ESS and natural capital based economy			
Forest Ecosystem Service mainly affected	Provisioning Services ☐ Raw material provision ☐ Food provision ☐ Other	Regulating S ☐ Air quality ☐ Groundwate ☐ Surface Wate ☐ Natural haze ☐ Biodiversity ☐ CO ₂ storage sequestration ☐ Other	er quality er quality ard habitat	Cultural Services Recreation Health maintenance Spirituality Contemplation Inspiration for Art Other
Economic sector (NACE category)	Agriculture, forestry and fishing			
Type of solution	 ☑ Business model ☐ Technical solution ☐ Organisational solution ☐ Management solution (farming, regional development) ☐ Labeling solution (e.g. certificates) ☐ Motivating solution (e.g. awards) ☐ Other (please describe it here): 			
TARGET GROUPS, POLICY & GOVERNANCE				
Target groups	 □ National public authority (T ⋈ Regional public authority (T ⋈ Local public authority (TG 5) □ Enterprise, except SME (TG 6) □ SMEs (TG 8 and 9) ⋈ Business support organizati □ Sectoral agency (TG 11) 	G 3 and 4)) 6 and 7)	☑ General pub☐ Financial/ba☑ Public and p☐ Higher eductor(TG 17)	ups including NGOs (TG 12-14) lic (TG 13) inking players (TG15) rivate forest owners (TG16) ation and research organisations ll organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19)
Policy fields mainly affected	☑ Forestry ☐ Climate protection / -mitig ☐ Timber production ☒ Water management ☐ Nature Conservation ☐ Tourism ☐ Bio-economy ☐ Other ☐ Energy			
Governance actions	Public policy and strategi	ies		
	BENEFITS, TRANSF	ERABILITY	& SCALABI	LITY
Economic and/or social benefits	 Ecological benefits Improves water quali retention, addressing hydrological challeng region. 	7	Provides for fores	nd social benefits sadditional revenue streams t owners, improving the ic viability of maintaining nd.

	 Promotes biodiversity by encouraging forest management practices that support diverse ecosystems Reduces wildfire risks 	 Enhances the sustainability of water supply systems by reducing treatment costs through improved water quality Strengthens collaboration between forest owners, municipalities, and water utilities, fostering a shared responsibility for ecosystem conservation. 	
Scalability	The Catalonia PES scheme demonstrates significant potential for national and international replication. The demand for improved water quality and quantity, coupled with widespread issues of unmanaged forests, makes it adaptable to other areas in Spain and beyond. The CLIMARK framework establishes clear links between forest treatments and ecosystem service (FES) provisioning, while certified management practices create incentive structures that ensure sustainable financing for forest owners. Lessons learned, particularly around stakeholder involvement and carefully designed instruments, are key for scaling similar PES schemes to other regions or even federal levels. Beyond water provision, the initiative has already broadened its scope to include carbon credits and biodiversity conservation, demonstrating flexibility and wider applicability. Globally, PES schemes are well-documented as effective mechanisms for sustainable ecosystem financing, especially in contexts where clear upstream-downstream relationships exist		
SUCCESS FACTORS AND BARRIERS			
Success factors	 benefits, particularly water quality Strong engagement from stakehol utilities, and local governments. 	forest management and downstream and fire prevention. ders, including forest owners, water hat verify ecological improvements and	

15. Spiritual forests and forest kindergartens (Switzerland)

• Dependency on continued funding and political support to sustain the

ensure trust between parties.

program long-term.

FACTS IN SHORT				
This case study introduced market-based offering of burial sites of human ashes near a specific, demarcated tree and thus directed at enhancing cultural ecosystem services of forests				
Key words	Spiritual FES			
DESCRIPTION, GOALS & FUNDING				

Obstacles

Detailed The Swiss case study under the SINCERE project focuses on funeral forests in the description of Good Aargau canton, where burial sites are offered under specific trees. This initiative **Practice** leverages cultural ecosystem services (CES) by creating spiritual forests that provide emotional, cognitive, and physical experiences. Forest owners sell burial sites for human ashes, conserving the designated tree and its surrounding forest area for 30-50 years. The payment system is formalized through contracts, linking economic incentives to the maintenance of forest cultural services. info: https://sincereforests.eu/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/SINCERE-More findings 06 switzerland.pdf **Goals of the Good** Enhance the value of the cultural ecosystem. cultural ecosystem services **Practice** by providing spiritual and recreational forest experiences. Motivate forest owners to supply cultural FES by offering sustainable economic incentives. Conserve forests through modest silvicultural interventions that preserve their aesthetic and spiritual value Financing / Payments for burial sites are made directly by individuals or families. **Funding** Forest owners receive compensation, covering opportunity costs for description conserving specific forest areas TOPIC, ECOSYSTEM SERVICE & TYPE OF SOLUTION **Key topic** ESS and natural capital based economy **Forest Ecosystem Provisioning Services Regulating Services Cultural Services Service mainly** ☐ Raw material provision ☐ Air quality □ Recreation affected ☐ Groundwater quality ☐ Health maintenance ☐ Food provision ☐ Surface Water quality Spirituality ☐ Other ☐ Natural hazard □ Contemplation ⋈ Biodiversity habitat ☐ Inspiration for Art ☐ CO₂ storage and ☐ Other sequestration ☐ Other **Economic sector** Agriculture, forestry and fishing (NACE category) Type of solution □ Business model ☐ Technical solution ☐ Organisational solution ☐ Management solution (farming, regional development) ☐ Labeling solution (e.g. certificates) ☐ Motivating solution (e.g. awards) ☐ Other (please describe it here): **TARGET GROUPS, POLICY & GOVERNANCE Target groups** ☐ National public authority (TG 1 and 2) ☐ Interest groups including NGOs (TG 12-14) ☐ Regional public authority (TG 3 and 4) ☑ General public (TG 13) □ Local public authority (TG 5) ☐ Financial/banking players (TG15) ☐ Enterprise, except SME (TG 6 and 7) ☑ Public and private forest owners (TG16)

	☐ SMEs (TG 8 and 9)	☐ Higher education and research organisations		
	☐ Business support organization (TG 10)	(TG 17) ☐ International organisation, EEIG (TG 18 – 19)		
- 1: c: 11 · 1	☐ Sectoral agency (TG 11)	<u>-</u>		
Policy fields mainly affected	⊠ Forestry	☐ Climate protection / -mitigation		
апестец	☐ Timber production	☐ Water management		
	☐ Nature Conservation	□ Tourism		
	☐ Bio-economy	⊠ Other		
	☐ Energy			
Governance actions	Public policy and strategies			
	BENEFITS, TRANSFERABILITY & SCALABILITY			
Economic and/or social benefits	Ecological benefits	Economic and social benefits		
SOCIAL DEHERITS	 Conservation of old-growth trees and surrounding habitats, enhancing biodiversity. 	 Forest owners gain a new revenue stream through payments for burial sites. 		
	 Encourages light silvicultural practices, reducing environmental impact 	 Support to local economies by maintaining forest-based services, attracting visitors for cultural and recreational purposes. 		
		 Provides spaces for emotional and spiritual connections with nature. 		
		 Offers an alternative, nature-based method for burial practices 		
Scalability	National Upscaling: Expansion within Switzerland is contingent on local legal frameworks that permit the burial of ashes in forests.			
	Upscaling to Other Countries: Similar models already exist in the UK and Denmark, and legal adaptations could facilitate implementation elsewhere.			
	Expanding Scope: The approach could incorporate other cultural activities, such as weddings or naming ceremonies, to diversify forest services			
SUCCESS FACTORS AND BARRIERS				
Success factors	Simple and low-cost implementati	on by forest owners.		
	 Supportive local legal frameworks 			
Obstacles				
	Legal restrictions in some regions r Besistance due to cultural regions r			
	 Resistance due to cultural norms or perceptions about forest use for spiritual purposes. 			
	Limited public awareness of such s communication efforts	services, requiring targeted		























Gefördert durch:



aufgrund eines Beschlusses des Deutschen Bundestages